

Traffic Calming Along CR-94 Options and Recommendations





November 7, 2016

Jamestown Traffic Calming Background

- AECOM hired through Boulder County contract to look at traffic calming options
- Recurring concern from town residents on the speed of traffic through town
- Jamestown Recovery Plan specifically mentions traffic calming should be implemented



AECOM



Jamestown Traffic Calming Background

- Flood damaged pavement to be replaced by Boulder County James Canyon permanent repairs (FEMA) Tentative summer 2017
- Non-FEMA Pavement Reconstruction Project (CDBG-DR) will repair other CR-94 pavement in town Tentative summer 2017
- Traffic calming features to be installed as part of the Non-FEMA pavement project
- Concrete dip at Merc will be rebuilt as part of Non-FEMA pavement project; it is needed for stormwater drainage



Jamestown Known Traffic Issues







- Gateway Monument
- "Your Speed" Radar Sign









– Flashing Beacons on Regulatory Signs

- Additional Speed Limit Signs



Above sign







- Intersection Channelization
- Roadside Parking Prohibitions
- Larger Street Name Signs









– Pedestrian Actuated Crossing Sign





- Speed Humps

 12-14 ft length, longer than speed bumps with gentler slope so less noise compared to bumps, but don't reduce speed as much







- Rumble Strips
- Narrowing Lanes With Edge Striping









Traffic Calming Options West End Potential Locations







Traffic Calming Options Town Center Potential Locations







Traffic Calming Options East End Potential Locations



Page 13





Traffic Calming Rankings

 Options were ranked on effectiveness, relative cost, rightof-way needs, maintenance needs

- Higher scoring options
 - Narrowing lanes with edge striping
 - "Your speed" radar signs
 - Flashing beacons on stop signs / speed limit signs
 - Larger street name signs
 - Speed humps
 - Pedestrian actuated crossing sign





Traffic Calming Options Issues to Consider

- "Light pollution"
- Speed hump / rumble strip noise
- Battery replacement maintenance for solar systems
- Pedestrian actuated crossing
 - Relatively high cost option for relatively low volume crossing
 - No sidewalks to connect to
- Right-of-Way needs for gateway monuments or crossing





Traffic Calming Recommendations

- Street name signs have already been installed
- Implement during paving project:
 - Narrow lanes with edge striping
 - "Your speed" radar signs
 - Stop sign flashing beacons, speed limit flashing beacons
- Other options based on community input
 - Speed humps





Next Steps

- Community feedback on the options
- Estimate construction costs for preferred alternatives
- Confirm budget with funding agency (CDBG-DR)
- Town Board approval
- Design and plan development (AECOM)
- Construction (tentative summer 2017)



Traffic Calming Option Descriptions

Speed hump – rounded mounds usually from 10 -18 feet long, and 3 inches high. Due to the shorter height, gentler slope and increased length, speed humps have less of a noise impact than speed bumps, but speed reduction is also lessened. Less impact on emergency vehicles and snow plows than our current speed bumps. Speed humps with a length of 10 – 12 feet reduce average speeds to 15 -20 MPH.

- Pros: very effective at reducing speeds, inexpensive, less negative impact on drivers, neighbors, emergency vehicles and snow plows than speed bumps.
- Cons: noise pollution, slight impact on emergency vehicles and snow plows

Rumble strips – roughened areas of pavement that cause noise and vibration. Encourage drivers to slow down to minimize the noise and vibration.

- Pros: mildly effective, inexpensive.
- Cons: noise pollution.

Gateway monuments – placed near entrance to town, draw drivers' attention to fact that they are leaving a higher speed road and entering a town.

- Pros: mildly effective, visually attractive, quiet
- Cons: can be expensive (depending on design)

Narrowing with striping – painting the lane striping to decrease road lane width appearance to 11 feet. Visually gives drivers sense of narrower road and need for lower speed.

- Pros: very inexpensive, no noise or light pollution, mildly effective at reducing speeds.
- Cons: none

"Your Speed" radar signs – measure driver's speed and call driver's attention to that speed. May display messages related to driver's speed.

- Pros: very effective, quiet.
- Cons: expensive to install, solar batteries need replacing approximately every ten years

Beacons or edge-lit stop/speed limit signs - Lit signs intended to draw driver's attention to regulatory signs.

- Pros: very mildly effective, inexpensive
- Cons: light pollution

Pedestrian-actuated crosswalk - crosswalk with lights that are activated by pedestrians.

- Pros: increase safety of pedestrians
- Cons: extremely expensive, light pollution, few users compared to cost

Thank you







Page 18